

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Dos. 1439 Abrandiah, Signia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	O. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,365 07/20/2001		07/20/2001	Parag Agashe	PA990576 2250		
23696	7590	07/16/2003				
•	m Incorpora	ated	EXAMINER			
	ehouse Drive		LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H			
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3661		
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v = 1	_	_					
	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,		09/910,365	AGASHE ET AL.				
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jacques H. Louis-Jacques	3661				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress			
THE MAILING DATE OI - Extensions of time may be availater SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specifie - Failure to reply within the set or	F THIS COMMUNICATION lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a re ed above, the maximum statutory perior extended period for reply will, by statu e later than three months after the mailing	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH. .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON and date of this communication, even if timely file.	imely filed ays will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on 20	July 2001 .					
2a) This action is FIN	IAL. 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this applica	ation is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the	merits is			
Disposition of Claims	ance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 U.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/a	are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above of	laim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-23</u> is/	are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,18,</u>	<u>19,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected	l.					
7) Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/	are objected to.						
	e subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	-	Admillor.					
		gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)_(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some		gripholity under 55 5.5.5. § 115(a)-(d) or (i).				
·- <u>-</u> ·-	pies of the priority documer	nts have been received					
	•	nts have been received in Applica	tion No.				
	• •	, ,		Stage			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)		p. 101.10, 0.10.10, 33 12					
Notice of References Cited (Notice of Draftsperson's Pat Notice of Draftsperson's Pat Information Disclosure State	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Inventor's signature is missing.

Note the petition on January 18, 2002 had been dismissed. See paper number 5 mail on April 19, 2002

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 18-19 are rejected as being hybrid claims for claims both statutory classes, namely an apparatus and a method. The claims are ambiguous and fail to particularly point out the claimed invention. See Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (Bd. PA&I 1990).

Claims 18-19 have not been further treated on the merits.

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-11 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vayanos et al [6,134,483] in view of Abraham et al [5,731,786].

Vayanos et al discloses a method and apparatus for efficient GPS assistance in a communication system. According to Vayanos et al a position correction factor is determined and transmitted for each of a plurality of physical objects. According further to Vayanos et al, each of the physical object has an identifier (ID) to identify the particular physical object, wherein the correction factor is transmitted based on the identifier. See figures 3A-3C and, in particular, columns 3-5. While Vayanos et al discloses the identifiers, the correction factors, Vayanos et al does not particularly teaches transmitting the correction factor in any particular or given order. Abraham et al, on the other hand, discloses a compaction of SATPS information for subsequent signal processing, wherein there is provided a plurality of physical objects (such as, space vehicles). Each of the physical objects has a correction factor associated therewith and the correction factor is transmitted based on a particular order, which can be predetermined future time, increasing time value, etc. See, in particular, columns 4-6. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to modify the system of Vayanos et al by incorporating the features from

Art Unit: 3661

the compaction system of Abraham et al because such modification, as suggested by Abraham et al in column 22, would improve the accuracy of the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 20-21 and 22-23 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 12-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,504,492	Class et al	Apr. 1996
5,910,788	Class	Jun. 1999
6,058,338	Agashe et al	May 2000

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H. Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is (703) 305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3661

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

Jacques H. Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661

/jlj July 14, 2003

